arXiv:1703.10601v1 [math.RA] 30 Mar 2017

EPSILON-STRONGLY GRADED LEAVITT PATH ALGEBRAS

PATRIK NYSTEDT

University West, Department of Engineering Science, SE-46186 Trollhättan, Sweden

JOHAN ÖINERT

Blekinge Institute of Technology, Department of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, SE-37179 Karlskrona, Sweden

Abstract. Given a directed graph E and a unital ring R one can define the Leavitt path algebra with coefficients in R, denoted by $L_R(E)$. For an arbitrary group G, $L_R(E)$ can be viewed as a G-graded ring. In this article, we show that for any graph E, $L_R(E)$ is always symmetrically G-graded. Moreover, we show that if E is finite, then $L_R(E)$ is epsilon-strongly G-graded.

1. Introduction

Let G be a group with identity element e. Recall that an associative ring S is said to be *graded by* G (or *G-graded*) if, for each $g \in G$, there is an additive subgroup S_g of S such that $S = \oplus_{g\in G} S_g$ and $S_g S_h \subseteq S_{gh}$, for all $g, h \in G$. If in addition, $S_g S_h = S_{gh}$ holds for all $g, h \in G$, then S is said to be *strongly graded by* G (or *strongly G-graded*). For an overview of the theory of group graded rings, we refer the reader to [8].

In an attempt to single out a class of well-behaved group graded rings which naturally includes all crossed products by unital twisted partial actions, Nystedt, Öinert and Pinedo [9] recently introduced the following generalization of a strongly group graded ring.

E-mail addresses: patrik.nystedt@hv.se, johan.oinert@bth.se.

Date: March 31, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S99, 16W50.

Key words and phrases. epsilon-strongly graded ring, Leavitt path algebra.

Definition 1.1. Let G be a group with identity element e. An associative ring S is said to be *epsilon-strongly G-graded* if the following three assertions hold:

(i) S is a G-graded ring;
(ii) For each $g \in G$, $S_g S_{g^{-1}}$ is a unital ideal of S_e, with a (not necessarily non-zero) multiplicative identity ϵ_g;
(iii) $S_g S_{g^{-1}} S_g = S_g$ holds for each $g \in G$.

Equivalently, (iii) may be replaced by the following:

(iii') For each $g \in G$, the equalities $\epsilon_g s = s = s\epsilon_{g^{-1}}$ hold for any $s \in S_g$.

Remark 1.2. It follows from Definition 1.1 that $\epsilon_g \in Z(S_e)$. Indeed, take $r \in S_e$ and notice that $\epsilon_g r = (\epsilon_g r)\epsilon_g = \epsilon_g(r\epsilon_g) = r\epsilon_g$.

Leavitt path algebras over fields were introduced in [2, 3] and have attracted significant interest and attention in the last decade. For an excellent overview of the theory and history of Leavitt path algebras, we refer the reader to Abrams' extensive survey [1], and the references therein. In [11], Leavitt path algebras with coefficients in a unital commutative ring were introduced and studied. Throughout this article we will follow [6] and consider Leavitt path algebras with coefficients in an arbitrary unital ring.

Definition 1.3. For a directed graph $E = (E^0, E^1, s, r)$ and a unital ring R, we define the *Leavitt path algebra of E with coefficients in R*, denoted $L_R(E)$, to be the algebra generated by the sets $\{v \mid v \in E^0\}$, $\{f \mid f \in E^1\}$ and $\{f^* \mid f \in E^1\}$ with the coefficients in R, subject to the relations

(1) $v_i v_j = \delta_{i,j} v_i$ for all $v_i, v_j \in E^0$;
(2) $s(f)f = fr(f) = f$ and $r(f)f^* = f^* s(f) = f^*$ for all $f \in E^1$;
(3) $f^* f' = \delta_{f,f'} r(f)$, for all $f, f' \in E^1$;
(4) $\sum_{f \in E^1, s(f)=v} f f^* = v$ for every $v \in E^0$ for which $s^{-1}(v)$ is non-empty and finite.

Here the ring R commutes with the generators.

There are many ways to assign a group gradation to a given Leavitt path algebra $L_R(E)$. Indeed, let G be an arbitrary group. Put $\deg(v) = e$, for each $v \in E^0$. For each $f \in E^1$, choose some $g \in G$ and put

$$\deg(f) = g \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(f^*) = g^{-1}.$$

By assigning degrees to the generators of the free algebra $F_R(E) = R\langle v, f, f^* \mid v \in E^0, f \in E^1\rangle$ in this way, we are ensured that the ideal

coming from relations (1)–(4) in Definition 1.3 is *graded*, i.e. homogeneous. Using this it is easy to see that the natural G-gradation on $F_R(E)$ carries over to a G-gradation on the quotient algebra $L_R(E)$. We will refer to such a gradation as *a standard G-gradation on $L_R(E)$*.

One important instance of such a gradation is coming from the case $G = \mathbb{Z}$, when we put

$$\deg(v) = 0, \text{ for } v \in E^0, \quad \deg(f) = 1 \text{ and } \deg(f^*) = -1, \text{ for } f \in E^1.$$

We will refer to this gradation as the *canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation on $L_R(E)$*.

In [6, 7] Hazrat gave the following characterization of strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Leavitt path algebras in the case of a finite graph E.

Theorem 1.4 (Hazrat)**.** *Let E be a finite graph. The Leavitt path algebra $L_R(E)$ with coefficients in a ring R is strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded if and only if E does not have any sink.*

In Section 3 we begin by observing that any standard G-gradation on a Leavitt path algebra is symmetrical (see Proposition 3.2). We then show that for any finite graph E and any standard G-gradation the Leavitt path algebra $L_R(E)$ is epsilon-strongly G-graded (see Theorem 3.3).

In Section 4 we provide some examples and apply a result from [9] to study separability of Leavitt path algebras.

2. Preliminaries

A directed graph $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$ consists of two countable sets E^0, E^1 and maps $r, s : E^1 \to E^0$. The elements of E^0 are called *vertices* and the elements of E^1 are called *edges*. If both E^0 and E^1 are finite sets, then we say that E is *finite*. A vertex which emits no edge is called a *sink*. A vertex $v \in E^0$ such that $|s^{-1}(v)| = \infty$ is called an *infinite emitter*. A *path* μ in E is a sequence of edges $\mu = \mu_1 \dots \mu_n$ such that $r(\mu_i) = s(\mu_{i+1})$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. In such a case, $s(\mu) := s(\mu_1)$ is the *source* of μ, $r(\mu) := r(\mu_n)$ is the *range* of μ and n is the *length* of μ. Recall that a path μ is called a *cycle* if $s(\mu) = r(\mu)$ and $s(\mu_i) \neq s(\mu_j)$ for every $i \neq j$. A graph E without cycles is said to be *acyclic*.

The elements of E^1 are called *real edges*, while for $f \in E^1$ we call f^* a ghost edge. The set $\{f^* \mid f \in E^1\}$ will be denoted by $(E^1)^*$. We let $r(f^*)$ denote $s(f)$, and we let $s(f^*)$ denote $r(f)$. If $\mu = \mu_1 \dots \mu_n$ is a path, then we denote by μ^* the element $\mu_n^* \dots \mu_1^*$ of $L_R(E)$.

For $n \geq 0$ we define E^n to be the set of paths of length n, and let $E^* = \cup_{n \geq 0} E^n$ be the set of all paths.

It is clear that the map $\deg : E^0 \cup E^1 \cup (E^1)^* \to G$, described in Section 1, can be extended to a map

$$\deg : E^* \cup \{\mu^* \mid \mu \in E^*\} \to G.$$

3. Gradations on Leavitt path algebras

Throughout this section, let R be an arbitrary unital ring and let G be an arbitrary group. Moreover, suppose that $L_R(E)$ is equipped with a standard G-gradation (see Section 1). In particular, this means that $L_R(E) = \oplus_{g\in G}(L_R(E))_g$ where

$$(L_K(E))_g = \left\{ \sum_i r_i\alpha_i\beta_i^* \mid \forall i : r_i \in R,\ \alpha_i, \beta_i \in E^* \text{ and } \deg(\alpha_i)\deg(\beta_i)^{-1} = g \right\}.$$

Following [4, Definition 4.5] we make the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let S be a G-graded ring. We say that S is *symmetrically G-graded* if $S_gS_{g^{-1}}S_g = S_g$ holds for each $g \in G$.

Notice that symmetrically G-graded rings are generalizations of strongly G-graded rings. We begin by observing that all Leavitt path algebras are symmetrically graded.

Proposition 3.2. *Let E be an arbitrary graph. Any standard G-gradation on the Leavitt path algebra $L_R(E)$ is symmetrical.*

Proof. Take $g \in G$. Clearly, $S_gS_{g^{-1}}S_g \subseteq S_g$ holds. To show the reversed inclusion, take an arbitrary non-zero monomial $\alpha\beta^* \in S_g$. Then $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$ and we get

$$\alpha\beta^* = \alpha r(\beta)r(\alpha)\beta^* = \alpha(\beta^*\beta)(\alpha^*\alpha)\beta^* = (\alpha\beta^*)(\beta\alpha^*)(\alpha\beta^*) \in S_gS_{g^{-1}}S_g.$$

This shows that $S_g \subseteq S_gS_{g^{-1}}S_g$. □

We shall now prove the main result of this article.

Theorem 3.3. *If E is a finite graph, then the Leavitt path algebra $S = L_R(E)$ is epsilon-strongly G-graded.*

Proof. First of all, $S = L_R(E)$ is assumed to be a G-graded ring with a standard G-gradation. Throughout the rest of the proof, let $g \in G$ be arbitrary.

We define the set

$$B_g = \{v \in E^0 \mid v = s(\alpha\beta^*) \text{ for some monomial } \alpha\beta^* \in S_g\}.$$

Notice that, for any monomial $\alpha\beta^*$ we will always have

$$s(\alpha\beta^*) = \begin{cases} s(\alpha), & \text{if } |\alpha| > 0 \\ r(\beta), & \text{if } |\alpha| = 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $\alpha\beta^* \in S_g$ is a non-zero monomial, i.e. $r(\alpha) = r(\beta)$. In that case, $\alpha\alpha^* = \alpha r(\beta)\alpha^* = \alpha\beta^*\beta\alpha^* \in S_g S_{g^{-1}}$. Now, essentially two things can occur:

(i) If $|\alpha| = 0$, then $s(\alpha\beta^*) = r(\beta) \in S_g S_{g^{-1}}$.
(ii) If $|\alpha| \neq 0$, on the other hand, it may happen that $s(\alpha\beta^*) \notin S_g S_{g^{-1}}$.

This leads us to introducing the following set:

$$P_g = \{\alpha \mid \alpha\beta^* \in S_g, \quad s(\alpha\beta^*) \notin S_g S_{g^{-1}}\}.$$

Notice that, by (i) above, P_g can not contain any vertices, i.e. the length of each path $\alpha \in P_g$ is at least 1. For $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in P_g$, we write $\alpha_i \leq \alpha_j$ if α_i is an initial subpath of α_j. Clearly, $\leq$ is a partial order on P_g. Moreover, using that E is finite, it is not difficult to see that there can only be a finite number of minimal elements of P_g with respect to $\leq$. We collect all such minimal elements in the set $M_g = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k\}$.

We are now ready to define ϵ_g in the following way:

$$\epsilon_g = \sum_{v \in B_g \cap S_g S_{g^{-1}}} v + \sum_{\alpha_j \in M_g} \alpha_j \alpha_j^*.$$

Clearly, by construction, $\epsilon_g \in S_g S_{g^{-1}}$. If we can show that ϵ_g satisfies condition (iii') of Definition 1.1, then we are done.

Take any monomial $\gamma\delta^* \in S_g$. We proceed by considering two mutually exclusive cases.

Case A: $(s(\gamma\delta^*) \in S_g S_{g^{-1}})$

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_g \gamma\delta^* &= \left(\sum_{v \in B_g \cap S_g S_{g^{-1}}} v\right) \gamma\delta^* + \left(\sum_{\alpha_j \in M_g} \alpha_j \alpha_j^*\right) \gamma\delta^* \\ &= \gamma\delta^* + 0 = \gamma\delta^*\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\gamma\delta^* \in S_g$ implies $\delta\gamma^* \in S_{g^{-1}}$. Moreover, $s(\gamma\delta^*) \in S_g S_{g^{-1}}$ implies $S_{g^{-1}} S_g \ni r(\gamma\delta^*) = s(\delta\gamma^*)$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma\delta^* \epsilon_{g^{-1}} &= \gamma\delta^* \left(\sum_{v \in B_{g^{-1}} \cap S_{g^{-1}} S_g} v\right) + \gamma\delta^* \left(\sum_{\alpha_j \in M_{g^{-1}}} \alpha_j \alpha_j^*\right) \\ &= \gamma\delta^* + 0 = \gamma\delta^*\end{aligned}$$

Case B: $(s(\gamma\delta^*) \notin S_g S_{g^{-1}})$

Notice that there is some $\alpha' \in M_g$ such that $\gamma = \alpha'\gamma'$.

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_g\gamma\delta^* &= \left(\sum_{v\in B_g\cap S_gS_{g^{-1}}} v\right)\gamma\delta^* + \left(\alpha'\alpha'^* + \sum_{\alpha_j\in M_g\setminus\{\alpha'\}}\alpha_j\alpha_j^*\right)\gamma\delta^* \\ &= 0 + \alpha'\alpha'^*\alpha'\gamma'\delta^* + 0 = \alpha'\gamma'\delta^* = \gamma\delta^*\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\gamma\delta^* \in S_g$ implies $\delta\gamma^* \in S_{g^{-1}}$. Moreover, $s(\gamma\delta^*) \notin S_gS_{g^{-1}}$ implies $s(\delta\gamma^*) \notin S_{g^{-1}}S_g$ and $r(\gamma\delta^*) \notin S_{g^{-1}}S_g$.

There must be some $\alpha' \in M_{g^{-1}}$ such that $\delta = \alpha'\delta'$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma\delta^*\epsilon_{g^{-1}} &= \gamma\delta^*\left(\sum_{v\in B_{g^{-1}}\cap S_{g^{-1}}S_g} v\right) + \gamma\delta^*\left(\alpha'\alpha'^* + \sum_{\alpha_j\in M_{g^{-1}}\setminus\{\alpha'\}}\alpha_j\alpha_j^*\right) \\ &= 0 + \gamma\delta'^*\alpha'^*\alpha'\alpha'^* + 0 = \gamma\delta'^*\alpha'^* = \gamma\delta^*.\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof. □

Remark 3.4. Notice that we will always get $\epsilon_e = \sum_{v\in E^0} v$.

It is natural to ask what would happen if we were to allow e.g. E^1 to be infinite. Let us therefore consider the following example where we equip $L_R(E)$ with its canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation.

Example 3.5. Let R be an arbitrary unital ring. Consider the following graph E:

$$\bullet_{v_1} \xrightarrow{(\infty)} \bullet_{v_2}$$

For this graph $E^1 = \{f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots\}$ is infinite. Is $L_R(E)$ epsilon-strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded? We begin by writing out some of the homogeneous components of the $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation on $S = L_R(E)$.

- $S_0 = \mathrm{span}_R\{v_1, v_2, f_1f_1^*, f_1f_2^*, \ldots, f_if_j^*, \ldots\}$
- $S_1 = \mathrm{span}_R\{f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots\}$
- $S_{-1} = \mathrm{span}_R\{f_1^*, f_2^*, f_3^*, \ldots\}$

If S_1 had only contained a finite number of elements, then we could have put $\epsilon_1 = \sum_{f\in E^1} ff^*$. However, in the current situation that is not possible. The only option left for us is to put $\epsilon_1 = v_1$. We need to check whether Definition 1.1(ii) holds, and in particular whether $v_1 \in S_1S_{-1}$. Seeking a contradiction, suppose that $v_1 = \sum_{i\in F} r_if_{p_i}f_{q_i}^*$, where $p_i, q_i \in \mathbb{N}^+$, for some finite set F. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ we get

$$0 \neq v_2 = f_k^*v_1f_k = \sum_{i\in F} r_if_k^*f_{p_i}f_{q_i}^*f_k$$

which in particular yields that there is some $i \in F$ such that $k = p_i = q_i$. Thus, F is not finite, which is a contradiction. This shows that $\epsilon_1 \notin S_1 S_{-1}$ and hence $S = L_R(E)$ is not epsilon-strongly graded by $\mathbb{Z}$.

The preceding example shows that Theorem 3.3 can not be generalized to an arbitary (possibly non-finite) directed graph E.

4. Examples and applications

Throughout this section, we put will $S = L_R(E)$ and endow it with its canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation. If S is epsilon-strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded, then for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can write $\epsilon_n = \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} a_n^i b_{-n}^i$, for some suitable $m_n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $a_n^i \in S_n$, $b_{-n}^i \in S_{-n}$. We may define a map $\rho_n(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} a_n^i r b_{-n}^i$ and a trace function

$$\mathrm{tr}_\rho : Z(R)_{\mathrm{fin}} \to Z(R), \quad r \longmapsto \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho_n(r)$$

where

$$Z(R)_{\mathrm{fin}} = \{r \in Z(R) \mid r\epsilon_n = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

By [9, Theorem 3] we know that S is separable over S_0 if and only if $1 \in \mathrm{tr}_\rho(Z(R)_{\mathrm{fin}})$. We are now going to apply this result to four different Leavitt path algebras.

Example 4.1. Consider the following graph E:

We begin by determining the homogeneous component of the gradation.

- $S_0 = Rv$,
- $S_1 = Rf$, and more generally $S_n = Rf^n$, for $n > 0$,
- $S_{-1} = Rf^*$, and more generally $S_{-n} = R(f^*)^n$, for $n > 0$.

Clearly, we must choose $\epsilon_n = v$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, in order to make $S = L_R(E)$ epsilon-strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. (In fact this even makes $L_R(E)$ strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded.) This leads to $Z(S_0)_{\mathrm{fin}} = \{0\}$. Clearly, $1 \notin \mathrm{tr}_\rho(Z(S_0)_{\mathrm{fin}})$. Hence, by [9, Theorem 3] we get that S is not separable over S_0. In other words, $L_R(E) \cong R[x, x^{-1}]$ is not separable over R.

Example 4.2. Suppose that R is a unital ring in which the element 3 is invertible. Consider the following graph E:



We notice that v_3 is a sink. Hence, by Theorem 1.4, S is not strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. However, we know from Theorem 3.3 that S is epsilon-strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. A quick calculation of the homogeneous components yields:

- $S_0 = \mathrm{span}_R\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$;
- $S_1 = \mathrm{span}_R\{f_1, f_2\}$;
- $S_{-1} = \mathrm{span}_R\{f_1^*, f_2^*\}$;
- $S_2 = \mathrm{span}_R\{f_1 f_2\}$;
- $S_{-2} = \mathrm{span}_R\{f_2^* f_1^*\}$;
- $S_n = \{0\}$ if $|n| > 2$.

Following the proof of Theorem 3.3 we may now choose:

- $\epsilon_0 = v_1 + v_2 + v_3$;
- $\epsilon_1 = v_1 + v_2 = f_1 f_1^* + f_2 f_2^*$;
- $\epsilon_{-1} = v_2 + v_3 = f_1^* f_1 + f_2^* f_2$;
- $\epsilon_2 = v_1 = f_1 f_2 f_2^* f_1$;
- $\epsilon_{-2} = v_3 = f_2^* f_1^* f_1 f_2$;
- $\epsilon_n = 0$, if $|n| > 2$.

It is easy to see that $Z(S_0)_{\mathrm{fin}} = Z(R)v_1 + Z(R)v_2 + Z(R)v_3$. We get $\mathrm{tr}_\rho(r) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \rho_n(r)$ for $r \in Z(S_0)_{\mathrm{fin}}$. That is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}_\rho(r) = (v_1 + v_2 + v_3)r(v_1 + v_2 + v_3) + f_1 r f_1^* + f_2 r f_2^* \\ + f_1^* r f_1 + f_2^* r f_2 + f_1 f_2 r f_2^* f_1^* + f_2^* f_1^* r f_1 f_2\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\mathrm{tr}_\rho(\frac{1}{3}(v_1 + v_2 + v_3)) = 1$. Hence, by [9, Theorem 3] we get that S is separable over S_0.

Remark 4.3. Analogously to Example 4.2, we can show that for an arbitrary graph E of the form



the corresponding Leavitt path algebra $S = L_R(E)$ is epsilon-strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. If we assume that the element n is invertible in R, then we can show that $L_R(E) \cong M_n(R)$ is separable over $S_0 \cong R^n$.

Example 4.4. Suppose that R is a unital ring in which the element 3 is invertible. Consider the following graph E:



We notice that v_3 and v_4 are sinks. Hence, by Theorem 1.4, S is not strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. However, we know from Theorem 3.3 that S is epsilon-strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. A quick calculation of the homogeneous components yields:

- $S_0 = \text{span}_R\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, \beta\beta^*, \gamma\gamma^*, \alpha\gamma\gamma^*\alpha^*, \alpha\beta\beta^*\alpha^*\}$;
- $S_1 = \text{span}_R\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha\gamma\gamma^*, \alpha\beta\beta^*\}$;
- $S_{-1} = \text{span}_R\{\alpha^*, \beta^*, \gamma^*, \gamma\gamma^*\alpha^*, \beta\beta^*\alpha^*\}$;
- $S_2 = \text{span}_R\{\alpha\beta, \alpha\gamma, \alpha\beta\beta^*\gamma, \alpha\gamma\gamma^*\beta\}$;
- $S_{-2} = \text{span}_R\{\beta^*\alpha^*, \gamma^*\alpha^*, \gamma^*\beta\beta^*\alpha^*, \beta^*\gamma\gamma^*\alpha^*\}$;
- $S_n = \{0\}$, if $|n| > 2$.

Following the proof of Theorem 3.3 we may now choose:

- $\epsilon_0 = v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4$;
- $\epsilon_1 = v_1 + v_2 = \alpha\alpha^* + \beta\beta^* + \gamma\gamma^*$;
- $\epsilon_{-1} = v_2 + v_3 + v_4 = \alpha^*\alpha + \beta^*\beta + \gamma^*\gamma$;
- $\epsilon_2 = v_1 = \alpha\alpha^* = \alpha\beta\beta^*\alpha^* + \alpha\gamma\gamma^*\alpha^*$;
- $\epsilon_{-2} = v_3 + v_4 = \beta^*\alpha^*\alpha\beta + \gamma^*\alpha^*\alpha\gamma$;
- $\epsilon_n = 0$ if $|n| > 2$.

It is easy to see that $Z(S_0)_{\text{fin}} = S_0$. The trace function is now

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tr}_\rho(r) = (v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4)r(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4) + \alpha r\alpha^* + \beta r\beta^* + \gamma r\gamma^* \\ +\alpha^* r\alpha + \beta^* r\beta + \gamma^* r\gamma + \alpha\beta r\beta^*\alpha^* + \alpha\gamma r\gamma^*\alpha^* + \beta^*\alpha^* r\alpha\beta + \gamma^*\alpha^* r\alpha\gamma.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\text{tr}_\rho(\frac{1}{3}(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4)) = 1$. Hence, by [9, Theorem 3] we get that S is separable over S_0.

Example 4.5. Suppose that R is a unital ring in which the element 2 is invertible. Consider the following graph:



We notice that v_2 and v_3 are sinks. Hence, by Theorem 1.4, S is not strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. However, we know from Theorem 3.3 that S

is epsilon-strongly $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. A quick calculation of the homogeneous components yields:

- $S_0 = \text{span}_R\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, \delta\delta^*, \alpha\beta_2^*, \alpha\alpha^*, \beta_2\alpha^*\}$
- $S_1 = \text{span}_R\{\delta, \alpha, \beta_2, \beta_1, \beta_1\beta_2\alpha^*\}$
- $S_{-1} = \text{span}_R\{\delta^*, \alpha^*, \beta_2^*, \beta_1^*, \alpha\beta_2^*\beta_1^*\}$
- $S_2 = \text{span}_R\{\beta_1\beta_2\}$
- $S_{-2} = \text{span}_R\{\beta_2^*\beta_1^*\}$
- $S_n = \{0\}$, for $|n| > 2$.

Following the proof of Theorem 3.3 we may now choose:

- $\epsilon_0 = v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 + v_5$;
- $\epsilon_1 = v_1 + v_4 + v_5 = \alpha\alpha^* + \delta\delta^* + \beta_2\beta_2^* + \beta_1\beta_1^*$;
- $\epsilon_{-1} = \alpha\alpha^* + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 = \alpha\beta_2^*\beta_1^*\beta_1\beta_2\alpha^* + \delta^*\delta + \beta_2^*\beta_2 + \beta_1^*\beta_1$;
- $\epsilon_2 = v_5 = \beta_1\beta_2\beta_2^*\beta_1^*$;
- $\epsilon_{-2} = v_3 = \beta_2^*\beta_1^*\beta_1\beta_2$;
- $\epsilon_n = 0$, if $|n| > 2$.

It is easy to see that $Z(S_0)_{fin} = \text{span}_R\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, \delta\delta^*, \alpha\alpha^*\}$. The trace function is now

$$\text{tr}_\rho(r) = (v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 + v_5)r(v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 + v_5)$$
$$+\alpha r\alpha^* + \delta r\delta^* + \beta_2 r\beta_2^* + \beta_1 r\beta_1^* + \alpha\beta_2^*\beta_1^* r\beta_1\beta_2\alpha^* + \delta^* r\delta + \beta_2^* r\beta_2 + \beta_1^* r\beta_1$$
$$+\beta_1\beta_2 r\beta_2^*\beta_1^* + \beta_2^*\beta_1^* r\beta_1\beta_2$$

Notice that $\text{tr}_\rho(v_2 + \frac{1}{2}(v_3 + v_4)) = 1$. Hence, by [9, Theorem 3] we get that S is separable over S_0.

References

[1] G. Abrams, Leavitt path algebras: the first decade, *Bull. Math. Sci.* **5**(1), 59–120 (2015).

[2] G. Abrams, G. Aranda Pino, The Leavitt path algebra of a graph, *J. Algebra* **293**, 319–334 (2005).

[3] P. Ara, M. A. Moreno and E. Pardo, Nonstable K-theory for graph algebras, *Algebras Represent. Theory* **10**(2), 157–178 (2007).

[4] L. Orloff Clark, R. Exel and E. Pardo, A Generalised uniqueness theorem and the graded ideal structure of Steinberg algebras, arXiv:1609.02873 [math.RA].

[5] M. Cohen and S. Montgomery, Group-graded rings, smash products and group actions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **282**(1), 237–258 (1984).

[6] R. Hazrat, The graded structure of Leavitt path algebras, *Israel J. Math.* **195**, 833–895 (2013).

[7] R. Hazrat, A note on the isomorphism conjectures for Leavitt path algebras, *J. Algebra* **375**, 33–40 (2013).

[8] C. Năstăasescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, *Methods of graded rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1836. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2004).

[9] P. Nystedt, J. Öinert and H. Pinedo, Epsilon-strongly graded rings, separability and semisimplicity, arXiv:1606.07592 [math.RA]

[10] D. S. Passman, *Infinite crossed products*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 135. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, (1989).
[11] M. Tomforde, Leavitt path algebras with coefficients in a commutative ring, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **215**(4), 471–484 (2011).